

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspic.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/074,961 | 02/13/2002 | Masaru Sakuma | AMANO A275-DIV | 8864 |
| 759 | 90 03/21/2003 | | | |
| Norman P. Soloway | | | EXAMINER | |
| HAYES SOLOV 130 W. Cushing | Street | | BEATTY, ROBERT B | |
| Tucson, AZ 85 | 5701 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2852 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - P | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/074,961 | SAKUMA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| • | Robert Beatty | 2852 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 March 2003</u> . | | | | | | | |
| , | nis action is non-fir | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | Ex parte Quayre, | 1000 0.0. 11, 100 0.0. 210. | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,16 and 18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/074,961

Art Unit: 2852

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. The amendment filed 3/5/2003 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1,2,5,16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1,16 and 18, last line, the phrase "after plastic-worked" is grammatically awkward.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject

Application/Control Number: 10/074,961

Art Unit: 2852

matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2,5, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hori et al or alternatively is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hori et al.

Hori et al. teach a circular shaped hollow structure comprising a seamless (jointless) metal substrate 2, having a thickness between $20-100~\mu m$ (0.02-0.1~mm) and a Vicker's hardness between 50 and 1500 Hv (preferably 100-1200).

Specifically, Hori et al. does not teach the claimed details of manufacturing the photoreceptor's thin conductive support. However, it is well settled in patent law that the patentability of the product does not depend on the method of production. See MPEP 2113.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,5,16 and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The applicant argues the importance of the limitation "circular-shaped hollow metal structure" however it is noted on page 3, last line – page 4, line 4, this limitation covers both sleeves, pipes and <u>belts</u>. A new reference has been used to teach the hardness of a thin metal layer.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,961

Art Unit: 2852

Yoda et al., Watanabe, Shibata et al., and Ehara et al. all teach various thickness of a metallic substrate and methods to form the substrate.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is 703-308-1372. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley, can be reached on (703) 308-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Robert Beatty Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2852